Request For Proposals (RFP)
Network Traffic Shaping Solution
S2018-10
Addendum No. 3

August 27, 2018

This Addendum No. 3 consists of two (2) pages. Proposers must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 3 in Required Form A – Form of Transmittal Letter.

This Addendum No. 3 has the following information to be incorporated into the Request for Proposals (RFP):

Item 1: **Attachment 1:** Answers to questions submitted by potential proposers.

Hilary Barker
Purchasing & Supplier Diversity Manager

McCormick Place | SMG
Network Traffic Shaping Solution #S2018-10
Addendum 3 – Attachment 1
Answers to Questions Submitted by Potential Proposers

	Proposers Question:	McCormick Place | SMG Response:
1	Where are the 3 10Gig links located? Are they in the same location, or separated by buildings, etc.? Per the RFP, I am to understand that BGP is doing all load balancing? Any network topology info and overview is needed.	**Cogent = 10 Gbps through 350 E. Cermak to South MDF; Wide Open West = 1 Gbps that can turn up to 10 Gbps through WoW manhole to the south into South MDF; Comcast = 1 Gbps that can turn up to 10 Gbps though Comcast manhole to the north into Wintrust MDF. BGP doing the load balance.**
2	Please advise the cable hand-off from these 3 10Gig ISP links – are they cable or fiber? Please specify and add any background information if possible.	**Fiber – single mode.**
3	Is McCormick Place asking for the full 30GB of shaping at the backup location?	**The two locations indicated are both primary. There is no backup location as each location has half of a redundant core, and each location has a router that will receive either one or two 10 Gbps circuits, all three of which will be BGP'ed as active/active/active. This is the pool of bandwidth that must be able to be shaped. We would prefer to have equipment at each of the two locations so that in the event of an extended failure in connectivity between the two locations, either location can operate independently if possible.**